



MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART
NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS-1963-A

FINAL REPORT, GRANT AFOSR-80-0013

RETURN DIFFERENCE FEEDBACK DESIGN FOR ROBUST UNCERTAINTY

TOLERANCE IN STOCHASTIC MULTIVARIABLE CONTROL SYSTEMS

Michael G. Safonov
Department of Electrical Engineering
University of Southern California
University Park, Los Angeles CA 90089



Approved for patilic distribution . . .

# CONTENTS

	P	age
INTRODUCTION		1
BACKGROUND A	ND PROGRESS 10/79 TO 9/82	2
PROGRESS 10/82	TO 9/83 AND CURRENT RESEARCH	6
REFERENCES A	ND PUBLICATIONS	10
APPENDICES:	New Publications and Theses Supported by	
	AFOSR Grant 80-0013	
-	M. G. Safonov and B. S. Chen, "Multivariable Stability Margin Optimization with Decoupling and Output Regulation," Proc. IEEE Conf. on Decision and Control, December 8-10, 1982.	
-	E. A. Jonckheere and L. M. Silverman,	ion For
	"Singular Value Analysis of Deformable Systems,"  Journal of Systems, Circuits and Signal  Processing, 1, pp. 447-470, 1983.	RA&I .B .nced cation
-	E. A. Jonckheere, "Principal Component Analysis of Flexible Systems — Open-Loop Case," to appear IEEE Trans. on Automatic Control, December 1984.	ition/
-	E. A. Jonckheere and L. M. Silverman, "The Linear-Quadratic Optimal Control Problem - The Operator Theoretic Viewpoint," Operator Theory: Advances and Applications, 12, pp. 277-302, 1984.	Special And,
-	E. A. Jonckheere, "New Bound on the Sensitivity of the Solution of the Lyapunov Equation," to appear Linear Algebra and Applications, 61, August 1984.	BBIB (gard (wafecost)
-	M. G. Safonov and B. S. Chen, "Multivariable Stability Margin Optimization with Decoupling and Output Regulation," <u>IEE Proc.</u> , 129 (Pt. D), pp. 276-282, 1982.	
-	M. G. Safonov, "Stability Margins of Diagonally Perturbed Multivariable Feedback Systems," IEE Proc. 12º (Pt. D), pp. 251-256, 1982.	

- M. G. Safonov, "Propagation of Conic Model Uncertainty in Hierarchical Systems," <u>IEEE Trans. on Circuits and Systems</u>, CAS-30, pp. 388-396, June 1983.
- M. G. Safonov and M. S. Verma, "Multivariable L<sup>®</sup> Sensitivity Optimization and Hankel Approximation," Proc. American Control Conf., San Francisco, CA, June 22-24, 1983.
- M. G. Safonov and J. C. Doyle, "Optimal Scaling for Multivariable Singular Value Computation,"
   Proc. MECO/EES '83 Symposium, Athens, Greece, August 29 September 2, 1983.
- M. G. Safonov, "L"-Optimal Sensitivity vs.
  Stability Margin, "Proc. IEEE Conf. on Decision
  and Control, San Antonio, TX, December 14-16,
  1983.
- M. G. Safonov and K. Karimlou, "Input-Output Stability Analysis with Magnetic Hysteresis Nonlinearity," <u>Proc. IEEE Conf. on Decision and</u> Control, San Antonio, TX, December 14-16, 1983.
- M. G. Safonov, "Stability of Interconnected Systems Having Slope-Bounded Nonlinearities," Proc. Conf. on Analysis and Optimization of Systems, Nice, France, June 19-22, 1984.
- M. G. Safonov and K. Karimlou, "Input-Output Stability Analysis with Magnetic Hysteresis Nonlinearity A Class of Multipliers," Proc. American Control Conf., San Diego, CA, June 6-8, 1984.
- M. G. Safonov and A. Sideris, "Unification of Wiener-Hopf and State-Space Approaches to Quadratic Optimal Control," Proc. of DIGITECH '84, Patras, Greece, July 9-12, 1984.
- M. G. Safonov and J. C. Doyle, "Minimizing Conservativeness of Robustness Singular Values," to appear as Chapter 11 in the book Multivariable Control New Concepts and Tools (ed. S. G. Tzafestas), D. Reidel, Dordrecht, Holland, 1984.
- M. G. Safonov, "Comments on Singular Value Theory in Uncertain Feedback Systems," to appear IEEE Trans. on Automatic Control, 1985.

- M. G. Safonov and M. S. Verma, "L" Optimization and Hankel Approximation," to appear IEEE Trans. on Automatic Control, January 1985.
- K. Karimlou, "Input Output Stability Analysis with Hysteresis Nonlinearity," Ph. D. Thesis, Univ. of Southern California, May 1984.

### INTRODUCTION

The increased demands for quick and precise control over aircraft and space vehicle response that are anticipated in the coming decades will have to be matched with automatic control systems that can respond instantaneously, without moment-to-moment human guidance, anticipating vehicle response insofar as is possible and, more importantly, continually and automatically monitor vehicle response and re-adjust control signals to correct for unpredicted deviations from the desired response. Such unpredicted response variations can result from external disturbances (e.g., wind gusts) and from the impossibility of employing a sufficiently complex and accurate model of the vehicle's dynamics to account for every vibrational mode, every nonlinearity,..., every variable affecting system response.

While the state-space-based mathematical theory for controlling systems without substantial uncertainty regarding dynamical response grew relatively sophisticated during the two decades of the 1960's and 1970's, there was almost no significant progress concerning the control of systems having uncertain response since the 1940's and 1950's when great strides were made in the development of the "classical" transfer-function-based theory for the control of simple single-input-single-output (SISO) linear time-invariant LTI) systems with uncertainty. Consequently, when this research project was begun in October 1979 there was no adequate theory to provide engineers with an efficient, systematic procedure for the design of precision controllers for more complex multi-input-multi-output systems such as the highly unstable, fast responding, control configured aerospace vehicles that are expected to be operating in tomorrow's combat environment.

The objective of the present research has been to develop this badly needed theory so that the engineers who must design tomorrow's aerospace vehicles will have more than intuition, trial-and-error simulation and mystical "seat-of-the-pants" insight for guidance in designing uncertainty-tolerant automatic controllers for these vehicles.

## BACKGROUND AND PROGRESS 10/79 - 9/82

Since work began on this project in October 1979, the research effort has been generally successful in achieving its main objective of relating the return difference matrix to the uncertainty tolerance properties of a system. These properties are also known as <u>robustness</u> properties or <u>feedback</u> properties. Our results, together with some related results useful in the actual synthesis of robustly uncertainty tolerant feedback controllers, were reported in the paper "Feedback Properties of Multivariable Systems:

The Role and Use of the Return Difference Matrix" [1]. This paper discusses the central roles in feedback theory of the return difference matrix (denoted I+L(s)) and the inverse-return difference matrix (denoted I+L(s)).

Among the new theoretical results in [1] are the following:

- (i) A new method for exact evaluation of the sensitivity of multivariable feedback control systems which overcomes significant practical limitations associated with previously known methods. Sensitivity to large plant and sensor variations is directly related to the nominal system's return and inverse-return difference matrices. (See Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 2.2 in [1]).
  - (ii) Significant drawbacks of characteristic locus analysis methods

(cf. MacFarlane and others) are described. Return and inverse-return difference singular value plots are found to overcome some of the drawbacks of characteristics loci. The results have been found to be useful in quantifying some fundamental limits on the achievable performance of feedback control systems. (See Section 3 and Section 4 of [1]).

(iii) A technique, based on stochastic linear quadratic Gaussian (LQG) optimal control theory, has been developed to aid the shaping of the return and inverse-return difference singular value plots. Though the technique is to a certain extent a trial-and-error design technique, it continues to be substantially more systematic than any other method that is currently available for synthesizing multivariable control systems to meet specifications requiring a robust tolerance of disturbances, noise and plant/sensor modeling errors (see Section 5 in [1]). To demonstrate the viability of the technique, the theory has been applied to the synthesis of an automatic controller for the longitudinal dynamics of an advanced control configured vehicle (CCV) aircraft, viz., the NASA HIMAT remotely piloted aircraft (see Section 6 of [1]).

More recently, research effort has focused on several issues.

First, substantial effort has been focused on the important practical issue of how to solve the so-called "Inverse Problem of Linear Quadratic Gaussian (LQG) Optimal Control" in the general setting in which the controller is dynamical and the plant is subject to plant and sensor noise. This inverse problem is as follows: Given a realizable closed-loop control return-difference matrix, the plant transfer function matrix P(s), and the plant and sensor noise power spectra matrices, say  $\Sigma_{\mathbf{d}}(s)$  and  $\Sigma_{\mathbf{n}}(s)$ , find the linear quadratic cost matrices R(s) and Q(s) such that the closed-loop system is optimal in the sense that the following stochastic cost is

minimized:

$$J = \frac{1}{2\pi j} \int_{-j\infty}^{+j\infty} Tr \left(Q(s)\Sigma_{y}(s) + R(s)\Sigma_{u}(s)\right) ds$$

where  $\Sigma_y(s)$  and  $\Sigma_u(s)$  denote the respective closed-loop power spectra matrices of the sensor output and control input signals. We are pleased to report that the solution to the problem, together with extensive discussion of its ramifications regarding a number of related problems is in hand [5]. This work was supported under AFOSR Grant 80-0013.

The importance of the LQG inverse problem to the proper understanding of robust multivariable feedback control system design cannot be understated. LQG multivariable feedback designs are preferred for a variety of reasons: Good computer software is readily available for solving the LQG design equations (e.g., [10]), LQG designs optimize inherent tradeoffs between robustness properties such as sensitivity versus stability margin [1], and many engineers in the aerospace industry are familiar with the basic LQG concepts. The solution to the inverse problem plays a vital role in our understanding of how one can use the LQG theory to place the poles and zeros of I+L(s) in order to achieve acceptable robustness and sensitivity singular-value Bode plots [1] and acceptable transient response and asymptotic tracking properties [5]. Also, as it is common practice to iteratively adjust the LQG cost matrices when "fine-tuning" a control design to meet various robustness specifications, the solution to the inverse problem provides a starting point for fine-tuning non-LQG multivariable feedback designs.

A second focus of the research effort is one of rather fundamental significance in linear feedback theory: realizability. A closed-loop

feedback system's return-difference I+L(s) is said to be <u>realizable</u> for a given plant P(s) if for some controller C(s)

- (i) L(s) = P(s)C(s), and
- (ii)  $(I + L(s))^{-1}$  is stable, and
- (iii) P(s) and C(s) are "coprime" in the sense that there are no unstable pole-zero cancellations in the product P(s)C(s). A new simplified realizability result (Lemma 1, [4] and [55]) has been developed which characterizes realizability directly in terms of the poles and associated residues of the return-difference and inverse-return difference. This is an improvement over previous multivariable results ([3], Lemma 3) which require a solution of the so-called "Bezout" equation and give only limited insight into the constraints imposed by realizability on the set of achievable return difference and inverse-return difference matrices.

The realizability question arose in connection with the previously mentioned LQG inverse problem, and our new realizability result in [4] plays a crucial role in the solution of the LQG inverse problem in [5], in addition to laying the groundwork for the decoupled multivariable L optimization problem solved in [4].

The  $L^{\infty}$  optimization problem that naturally arises in sensitivity and stability margin optimization has been another sign of our research effort. With the aid of results in [1] which show that stability margin is inversely proportional to the  $L^{\infty}$  norm of the inverse of the inverse-return difference matrix  $I + L^{-1}(s)$  and the aid of our new realizability result (Lemma 1 of [4]), it was shown in [4] and [55] that the problem of designing a feedback controller to maximize stability margin (subject to decoupling and, perhaps, asymptotic tracking constraints) is mathematically equivalent to the minimization

$$\min_{\mathbf{x}_{j}(\mathbf{s})\in \mathbf{H}^{\infty}} \|\mathbf{x}_{j}(\mathbf{s})\|_{\infty} , \quad (j=1,\ldots,m)$$

subject to complex interpolation constraints of the form

$$x_{j}(s_{iu}) = w_{ij}$$
, (i = 1,...,n), Re( $s_{i}$ ) > 0,

$$\|\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{s})\|_{\infty} \stackrel{\Delta}{=} \sup_{\omega} |\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{j}\omega)|.$$

A simple solution to this interpolation problem requiring only the calculation of certain eigenvectors and eigenvalues is available in the mathematics literature [39]. This leads in turn to the multivariable feedback controller having maximal stability robustness. It also points the way to improving and extending to multivariable systems certain recent results of Zames and Francis [40] concerning single-loop feedback sensitivity minimization with respect to the L<sup>®</sup> norm. The results recently have been generalized to the decoupled multivariable case by the principle investigator and Ph. D student, B. S. Chen [4,5].

### PROGRESS 10/82 TO 9/83 AND CURRENT RESEARCH

During the past year our research has lead to progress in several directions as reported in the thesis and seventeen new publications and reports appended to this report.

One focus of the research effort has been on the robustness of control systems for flexible mechanical structures, e.g., space-borne

antennas and telescopes. References [48-51] and [53] address this problem. Results reported therein relate the singular values of Moore's balanced state-space realization [8] to the poles and associated damping ratios for lightly damped large-scale-structures [49]. It has been found that for such systems "balanced" and "modal" state-space coordinates coincide asymptotically as the damping goes to zero [50]. Also, improved bounds on the sensitivity of the Lyapunov equation associated with such systems have been obtained [51,53]. The Lyapunov equation plays a central role in robustness and stability analysis.

The existence of solutions to the LQG (Linear Quadratic Gaussian) optimal control problem has been re-examined from the operator theoretic point of view [52]. Results have been obtained relating the spectra of the Wiener-Hopf operator to the existence of stabilizing and antistabilizing optimal feedbacks and to the fundamental role played by the "positivity" of certain related operators. The new approach assumes a great deal about the "fine" structure of the linear-quadratic problem. The results of Safonov and Sideris [63] provide a unified view of the state-space and Wiener-Hopf approaches to the LQG problem, showing that the LQG state-feedback and Kalman-Bucy filter return-difference matrices generate the plant matrix fraction description and the spectral factors of the Wiener-Hopf solution.

Several of our papers deal with various aspects of stability margin or "robustness" analysis for multiloop feedback systems. Reference [55] describes a simple method based on the Perron-Frobenius Theory of non-negative matrices for suboptimally pre-scaling matrices before computing robustness singular values. References [58] and [65] show that with additional computational effort, optimal prescaling can be

accomplished by gradient descent methods and that  $\sigma_{\max}^2(DMD^{-1})$  is convex in the diagonal scaling matrix D.

Solutions to the problem of synthesizing an output feedback control law to achieve L -norm optimal robustness singular values (and/or sensitivity singular values) are obtained in [4], [54], [57] and [66]. We treated the case involving a closed-loop decoupling constraint in [4] and [54]. The unconstrained case is treated in [57] and [66] by establishing an equivalence with the so-called "zeroth order" optimal Hankel-norm model reduction problem which can be solved in the state-space using the techniques developed by Bettayeb, Silverman, and Safonov [12, 13] and improved by Glover et al. [68]. The very recent work of Doyle [69] interfaces with the results of [57, 66, 68] to provide a complete state-space oriented framework for solving the L singular value optimization problem. The paper by Safonov [59] gives a brief overview of the state-of-the-art in L optimization with a comprehensive discussion of the role, use and limitations of the theory for robust control system design. The paper [65] by Safonov clarifies some common misunderstandings about the role of singular values. We believe that the  $L^{\infty}$  singular value approach to feedback control law synthesis has much to commend it in that it provides a direct method for optimizing and shaping singular-value Bode plots. However, singular values have been found to be very conservative measures of performance in many situations. Our current research effort is directed in part toward the problem of expanding the class of problems that the L theory can handle to include less conservative performance measures than singular values. The design methodology we describe in [59] is a step in this direction.

We have obtained significant new results concerning the stability of nonlinear feedback systems having hysteresis nonlinearities. The results of Safonov and Karimlou [60,62] and the results in the Ph.D. thesis of

Karimlou [67] enable one to treat a broad class of hysteresis nonlinearities as if they were conic-sector bounded, even when the hysteresis includes the origin.

A fundamental role in robustness theory is played by the "conic sector." Indeed, the singular-value may be viewed as simply a method for verifying whether a given transfer-function matrix satisfies a conic sector condition. Of course, conic sectors are much more general in that they may be used for nonlinear and time-varying systems in addition to transfer functions. The paper [56] by Safonov on "Propagation of Conic Model Uncertainty" is of fundamental significance. It shows that simple operations such as feedback, input projection, output projection, and feedforward map conic sectors into and onto new conic sectors whose cone-parameters can be precisely calculated. The result enables hierarchical decomposition of robustness analysis and robust control law synthesis problems involving uncertain large scale systems.

### REFERENCES

- [1] M.G. Safonov, A.J. Laub, and G.L. Hartmann, "Feed-back Properties of Multivariable Systems: The Role and Use of the Return Difference Matrix", IEEE Trans. on Automatic Control, Vol. AC-26, No. 1, February 1981.
- [2] D.C. Youla, J.J. Bongiorno, and H.A. Jabr, "Modern Wiener-Hopf Design of Optimal Controllers Part I: The Single-Input-Output Case", IEEE Trans. on Automatic Control, Vol. AC-21, pp. 3 13, 1976.
- [3] D.C. Youla, H.A. Jabr, and J.J. Bongiorno, "Modern Wiener-Hopf Design of Optimal Controllers Part II: The Multivariable Case", IEEE Trans. on Automatic Control, Vol. AC-21, pp. 319 338, 1976.
- [4] M.G. Safonov and B.S. Chen, "Multivariable Stability Margin Optimization with Decoupling and Output Regulation" Proc. IEEE Conf. on Decision & Contr., Dec. 8-10, 1982 (see Appendix).
- [5] B.S. Chen, "The Inverse Problem of LQG Control via Frequency Dependent Cost/Noise Matrices", Ph.D. Dissertation, University of Southern California, June 1982, (see Appendix.)
- [6] R.E. Kalman, P.L. Falb, and M.A. Arbib, Topics in Mathematical System Theory. New York: McGraw-Hill, 1969.
- [7] W.A. Porter, Modern Foundation of Systems Engineering. New York: McMillan, 1966.
- [8] B.C. Moore, "Principal Component Analysis in Linear Systems: Controllability, Observability, Model Reduction", *IEEE Trans. on Automatic Control*, Vol. AC-26, No.1, pp. 17 31, 1981.
- [9] V.M. Adamjan, D.Z. Arov, and M.G. Krein, "Analytic Properties of Schmidt Pairs for a Hankel operator and the Generalized Schur-Takagi Problem", Math USSR Sbornik, Vol. 15, pp. 31 73, 1971.
- [10] L.M. Silverman and M. Bettayeb, "Optimal Approximation of Linear Systems", to appear IEEE Trans. on Automatic Contr.

Asterisk denotes work supported in whole or in part by AFCSF Grant 80-0013.

- [11] S. Kung, "A New Low-Order Approximation Algorithm via Singular Value Decompositions", Submitted to IEEE Trans. on Automatic Control.
- [12] M.G. Safonov, "Mathematical Theory of Control Processes", Lecture Notes for USC Course EE 599, Fall 1978.
- [13] M. Bettayeb, L.M. Silverman, and M.G. Safonov, "Optimal Approximation of Continuous Time Systems", IEEE Conf. on Decision and Control, Albuquerque, NM, December 10-12, 1980.
- [14] E.A. Jonckheere and L.M. Silverman, "Singular Value Analysis of Deformable Systems", Proc. IEEE Conf. on Decision and Control, San Diego, California December 16-18, 1981.
- [15] E.A. Jonckheere, M.G. Safonov, and L.M. Silverman, "Topology Induced by Hankel Norm in the Space of Transfer Matrices", IEEE Conf. on Decision and Control, San Diego, CA., December 16-18, 1981.
- [16] G. Stein, "Generalized Quadratic Weights for Asymptotic Regulator Properties", *IEEE Trans. on Automatic Control*, Vol. AC-24, pp. 559-566, 1979.
- [17] J.C.Doyle and G. Stein, "Multivariable Feedback Design: Concepts for a Classical/Modern Synthesis," IEEE Trans. on Automatic Control, Vol. AC-26, No. 1, pp. 4-16, 1981.
- [18] N.K. Gupta, "Frequency-Shaped Cost Functionals: Extension of Linear-Quadratic-Gaussian Design Methods", J. Guidance and Control, Vol. 3, No.6, November December 1980.
- [19] A.J. Laub, "A Schur Method for Solving Algebraic Piccati Equations", *IEEE Trans. on Automatic Control*, Vol. AC-24, No. 6, pp. 913 921, December 1979.
- [20] M. Athans, "The Role and Use of the Stochastic Linear-Quadratic Gaussian Problem in Control System Design", IEEE Trans. on Automatic Control, Vol. AC-16, pp. 529 552, 1971.
- [21] R.E. Kalman, "When is a Linear Control System Optimal", Trans. ASME (J. Basic Eng.), Vol. 86, pp. 51 60, March, 1964.

Asterisk denotes work supported in whole or in part by AFOSR Grant 80-0013.

- B.D.O. Anderson, "The Inverse Problem of Optimal Control", Stanford Electronics Laboratories Technical Report No. SE-66-038 (TR No. 6560-3), Stanford, CA., April, 1966.
- R. Yokoyama and E. Kinnen, "The Inverse Problem of an Optimal Regulator", *IEEE Trans. on Automatic Control*, Vol. AC-17, No.4, pp. 497 504, August 1972.
- B.P. Molinari, "The Stable Regulator Problem and Its Inverse", *IEEE Trans. on Automatic Control*, Vol. AC-18, No.5, pp. 454 459, October 1973.
- P.J. Moylan and B.D.O. Anderson, "Nonlinear Regulator Theory and an Inverse Optimal Control Problem", IEEE Trans. on Automatic Control, Vol. AC 18, No.5, pp. 460 465, October 1973.
- J. Park and K.Y. Lee, "An Inverse Optimal Control Problem and its Application to the Choice of Performance Index for Economic Stabilization Policy", IEEE Trans. on Systems, Man & Cybernetics, Vol. SMC 5, No. 1, pp. 64 76, January 1975.
- [27]\* E.A. Jonckheere, "On the Existence of a Negative Semi-Definite, Antistabilizing Solution to the Discrete-Time Algebraic Riccati Equation", IEEE Trans. on Automatic Control, Vol. AC - 26, No. 3, pp. 707 - 712, June 1981.
- [ 28]\* E.A Jonckheere, "Lagrangian Theory of Large Scale Systems", European Conf. on Circuit Theory and Design, The Haque, The Netherlands, August 25 28, 1981.
- [29]\* E.A. Jonckheere, "Spectral Theory of the Linear-Ouadratic Optimal Control Problem: keview and Perspectives,"

  European Conf. on Circuit Theory and Design, The Haque, The
  Netherlands. August 25-28, 1981.
- [30] J.C. Willems, "Least Squares Stationary Optimal Control and the Algebraic Riccati Equation", IEEE Trans. on Autom. Control, Vol. AC-16, pp. 621 634, December 1971.
- [31] J.C. Willems, "On the Existence of a Nonpositive Solution to the Riccati Equation", IEEE Trans. on Automatic Control, Vol. AC 20, pp. 804 806, December 1975.
- [32] B.D.O. Anderson, "Algebraic Properties of Minimal Degree

Asterisk denotes work supported in whole or in part by AFOSE Grant 80-0013.

Spectral Factors", Automatica, Vol. 9, pp. 491 - 500, 1973.

- [ 33 ] B.D.O. Anderson, "Corrections to: Algebraic Properties of Minimal Degree Spectral Factors", Automatica, Vol. 11, pp. 321 322, 1975.
- [34] E.A. Jonckheere and L.M. Silverman, "Spectral Theory of the Linear-Quadratic Optimal Control Problem: Discrete-Time Single-Input Case", IEEE Trans. on Circuits and Systems, Vol. CAS 25, pp. 810 821, Sept. 1978.
- [35] E.A. Jonckheere and L.M. Silverman, "Spectral Theory of the Linear-Quadratic Optimal Control Problem: A New Algorithm for Spectral Computations", IEEE Trans. on Automatic Control, Vol. AC 25, pp. 880 888, Oct. 1980.
- [36] E.A. Jonckheere and L.M. Silverman, "Spectral Theory of the Linear-Quadratic Optimal Control Problem: Analytic Factorization of Rational Matrix-Valued Functions", SIAM J. Control and Optimization, Vol. 19, pp. 262 281, March 1981.
- [37] U. Shaked, "A General Transfer Function Approach to Linear Stationary Filtering and Steady State Optimal Control Design", Int. J. Control, Vol. 24, No. 6, pp. 741 770, 1976.
- [38] M.G. Safonov and K. Karimlou, "A Separation Principle for Linear Control Systems with Large Plant and Sensor Uncertainty", Proc. Asilomar Conf. on Circuits, Systems and Computers, Pacific Grove, CA, Nov. 9-11, 1981.
- [39] D. Sarason, "Generalized Interpolation in H", Trans. AMS, Vol. 127, pp. 179 203, 1967.
- [40] G. Zames and B.A. Francis, "A New Approach to Classical Frequency Methods: Feedback and Minimax Sensitivity", Proc. IEEE Conf. on Decision and Control, San Diego, CA., December 16 18, 1981.
- [41] Y. Genin and S.Y. Kung, "A Two-Variable Approach to the Model Reduction Problem with a Hankel Criterion", IEEE Trans. on Circuits and Systems, Vol. CAS 28, pp. 912 924, 1981.

Asterisk denotes work supported in whole or in part by AFOSE Grant 80-0013.

- [42] M.G. Safonov and M. Athans, "A Multiloop Generalization of the Circle Criterion for Stability Margin Analysis", IEEE Trans. on Automatic Control, AC 26, pp. 415 422, 1981.
- [43] N.A. Lehtomaki, N.R. Sandell, Jr., and M. Athans, "Robustness Results in Linear-Quadratic Gaussian Based Multivariable Control Designs", IEEE Trans. on Automatic Control, AC \_26, pp. 75 92, 1981.
- [44] G. Zames, "Feedback and Optimal Sensitivity: Model Reference Transformations, Multiplicative Seminorms, and Approximate Inverses", IEEE Trans. on Automatic Control, AC-26, pp. 301-320, 1981.
- [45] E.A. Jonckheere and P. Delsarte, "Inversion of Toeplitz Operators, Levinson's Equations, and Gohberg-Krein Factorization - a Simple and Unified Approach for the Rational Case", Technical Report R407, Philips Research Lab., Brussels, Belgium. November 1979; see also, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Application, 1982.
- [46] E.A. Jonckheere, "Open-Loop and Closed-Loop Approximations of Linear Systems and Associated balanced Realizations", International Symposium on Circuits and Systems, Rome, Italy, May 1982.
- [47] M.G. Safonov and M.S. Verma, "L<sup>®</sup> Sensitivity Optimization Via Hankel Norm Approximation," summary submitted 10/82 to American Control Conference, San Francisco, CA. June 1983. Copy of summary appended to this report.
- [48] E.A. Jonckheere, "A Closed-Loop Principal Component Analysis of a Tetrahedral Truss," NASA Workshop on Large Scale Structures, Jet Propulsion Lab, Pasadena, Ca, July 14-16, 1982.
- [49]\* E.A. Jonckheere and L.M. Silverman, "Singular value analysis of deformable systems, <u>Journal of Systems</u>, <u>Circuits and Signal Processing</u>, 1, pp. 447-470, 1983 (see Appendix).
- [50]\*

  E.A. Jonckheere, "Principal component analysis of flexible systems open-loop case," Symp. on Mathematical Theory of Networks & Systems, Beer Sheva, Israel, June 1983; also to appear IEEE Trans. on Automatic Control, December, 1984 (see Appendix).

Asterisk denotes work supported in whole or in part by AFCSE Grant 80-0013

- [51] E. A. Jonckheere, "A tighter condition number for the Lyapunov equation in the modal case," presented at Symp. on the Mathematical Theory of Networks and Systems, Special Session on Numerical Methods, Beer Sheva, Israel, June 1983.
- [52] E. A. Jonckheere and L. M. Silverman, "The Linear-Quadratic Optimal Control Problem The Operator Theoretic Viewpoint,"

  Operator Theory: Advances and Applications, 12, pp. 277-302, 1984 (see Appendix).
- [53]\* E. A. Jonckheere, "New Bound on the Sensitivity of the Solution of the Lyapunov Equation," to appear <u>Linear Algebra and Applications</u>, 61, August, 1984 (see Appendix).
- [54] M. G. Safonov and B. S. Chen, "Multivariable Stability Margin Optimization with Decoupling and Output Regulation," IEE Proc., 129 (Pt. D), pp. 276-282, 1982 (see Appendix).
- [55]\* M. G. Safonov, "Stability Margins of Diagonally Perturbed Multivariable Feedback Systems," IEE Proc. 129 (Pt. D), pp. 251-256, 1982 (see Appendix).
- [56] M. G. Safonov, "Propagation of Conic Model Uncertainty in Hierarchical Systems," IEEE Trans. on Circuits and Systems, CAS-30, pp. 388-396, June 1983 (see Appendix).
- [57]\* M. G. Safonov and M. S. Verma, "Multivariable L Sensitivity Optimization and Hankel Approximation," Proc. American Control Conf., San Francisco, CA, June 22-24, 1983 (see Appendix).
- [58] M. G. Safonov and J. C. Doyle, "Optimal Scaling for Multivariable Singular Value Computation," Proc. MECO/EES '83 Symposium, Athens, Greece, August 29 September 2, 1983 (see Appendix).
- [59] M. G. Safonov, "L"-Optimal Sensitivity vs. Stability Margin," Proc. IEEE Conf. on Decision and Control, San Antonio, TX, December 14-16, 1983 (see Appendix).
- [60] M. G. Safonov and K. Karimlou, "Input-Output Stability Analysis with Magnetic Hysteresis Nonlinearity," Proc. IEEE Conf. on Decision and Control, San Antonio, TX, December 14-16, 1983 (see Appendix).
- [61] M. G. Safonov, "Stability of Interconnected Systems Having Slope-Bounded Nonlinearities," Proc. Conf. on Analysis and Optimization of Systems, Nice, France, June 19-22, 1984 (see Appendix).

<sup>\*</sup>Asterisk denotes work supported in whole or in part by AFOSR Grant 80-0013.

- [62] M. G. Safonov and K. Karimlou, "Input-Output Stability Analysis with Magnetic Hysteresis Nonlinearity A Class of Multipliers," Proc. American Control Conf., San Diego, CA, June 6-8, 1984 (see Appendix).
- [63]\* M. G. Safonov and A. Sideris, "Unification of Wiener-Hopf and State-Space Approaches to Quadratic Optimal Control," <u>Proc. of DIGITECH</u> '84, Patras, Greece, July 9-12, 1984 (see Appendix).
- [64]\* M. G. Safonov and J. C. Doyle, "Minimizing Conservativeness of Robustness Singular Values," to appear as Chapter 11 in the book Multivariable Control New Concepts and Tools (ed. S. G. Tzafestas), D. Reidel, Dordrecht, Holland, 1984 (see Appendix).
- [65]\* M. G. Safonov, "Comments on Singular Value Theory in Uncertain Feedback Systems," to appear IEEE Trans. on Automatic Control, 1985 (see Appendix).
- [66]\* M. G. Safonov and M. S. Verma, "L Optimization and Hankel Approximation," to appear IEEE Trans. on Automatic Control, January 1985 (see Appendix).
- [67]\* K. Karimlou, "Input Output Stability Analysis with Hysteresis Nonlinearity," Ph. D. Thesis, Univ. of Southern California, May 1984 (see Appendix).
- [68] K. Glover and D. J. N. Limebeer, "Robust Multivariable Control System Design Using Optimal Reduced Order Plant Models,"

  Proc. American Control Conf., San Francisco, CA, June 22-24, 1983.
- [69] J. C. Doyle, "Synthesis of Robust Controllers and Filters with Structured Plant Uncertainty," Proc. IEEE Conf. on Decision and Control, San Antonio, TX, December 14-16, 1983.
- [70] J. W. Helton, "An H<sup>®</sup> Approach to Control," Proc. IEEE Conf. on Decision and Control, San Antonio, TX, December 14-16, 1983.

<sup>\*</sup>Asterisk denotes work supported in whole or in part by AFOSR Grant 80-0013.

UNCLA	ASSIFIED			A	D. 145	861			
ECURITY C	LASSIFICATI	ON OF THE	S PAGE		D-A145	001			
				REPORT DOCUME					
a REPORT	SECURITY (	CLASSIFICA	TION	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	16, RESTRICTIVE M	ARKINGS			
UNCLASS									
. SECURI	TY CLASSIFI	CATION AU	THORITY		3. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF REPORT				
					Approved for public release; distribution				
2b. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE			unlimited.						
95.950B	MING ORGAN	VIZATION R	EPORT NUM	BER(S)	5. MONITORING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S)				
4. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S)				AFOSR-TR- 84_0802					
NAME C	FPERFORMS	NG ORGAN	IZATION	6b. OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable)	7. NAME OF MONITORING ORGANIZATION Air Force Office of Scientific Research				
Califor	•			ļ					
ADDRES	SS (City, State	and ZIP Cod	de)		7b. ADDRESS (City.	State and ZIP Cod	e)		
			al Engin	eering	Directorate of Mathematical & Information				
•			ngeles C	_	Sciences, Bo	7			
		, =	<u> </u>						
	F FUNDING	SPONSORIN	NG.	8b. OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable)	9. PROCUREMENT INSTRUMENT IDENTIFICATION NUMBER				
AFOSR				NM	AFOSR-80-001	3			
	SS (City, State	and ZIP Co.	de l	1 101	10. SOURCE OF FUNDING NOS.				
	AFB DC		<i>3</i> C /		PROGRAM	PROJECT	TASK	WORK UNIT	
POTITIFE	g Arb Do	20332			ELEMENT NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	
					61102F	2304	A1	j	
Michael	AL AUTHOR G. Safo		136. TIME C	OVERED	14. DATE OF REPO	RT (Yr., Mo., Day)	CONTROL SY		
inal			FROM 1/	10/82 TO29/9/84	8		16		
i. SUPPLE	MENTARY N	OTATION							
<u>'.                                    </u>	COSATI	CODES		18. SUBJECT TERMS (C					
FIELD	GROUP	SUI	B. GR.	Systems theory;		dback; auto	matic conti	rol;	
	<del>}</del>	<del> </del>		multivariable o	control.		V. Letter		
	CT (0:=4/=			d identify by block number	<del></del>		<del>}</del>	<del></del>	
				has been to deve		ng methodol	ogies appl	icable.	
				automatic contro				-	
				uiring precise o					
				wind gusts) and					
				em (e.g., parame					
				t three years of					
				rs to relate the					
				nd quantitativel					
				eedback loops.					
				r value Bode plo					
mus us ve	TOTAL T	Geunack	Control	ter plumests.	Continuing re	search 15 C	surrently be	stuR grweg	

modern stochastic linear optimal control synthesis theory, and extending the (CONTINUED) 20. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT 21. ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION 023 84 UNCLASSIFIED/UNLIMITED X SAME AS RPT. OTIC USERS O UNCLASSIFIED 224. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL 22b. TELEPHONE NUMBER (Include Area Code) 22c. OFFICE SYMBOL CPT John P. Thomas, Jr. (202) 767-5026 NM

at further tightening the links between this theory and the most recent developments of

-44	NC	T.A	SS	TF	IEI	١
		1.3		T.	101	ı

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE

ITEM #19, ABSTRACT, CONTINUED: results to admit more practical problems, so that this theory may be used more effectively by engineers to efficiently and systematically design the feedback gains that determine a feedback system's return difference matrix. Such results substantially reduce the dependence of control engineers on intuition, simulation, and luck and provide the know-how to successfully and efficiently solve the increasingly complex and demanding aerospace control problems of the coming decades.

UNCLASSIFIED

# END

FILMED

10-84

DTIC